# 2. OBSERVER'S MATHEMATICS APPLICATIONS TO CLASSICAL MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS

### 2.1 Analogy of Fermat's, Mersenne's and Waring's Problems

We proved the following four Theorems:

THEOREM 2.1. (Analogy of Fermat's Last Problem). For any integer  $n, n \ge 2$ , and for any integer  $m, m \ge 3, m \in W_n$  (see below for the definition of  $W_n$ ) there exist positive  $a, b, c \in W_n$ , such that  $a^m + b^m = c^m$  (operation  $+_n$  is defined below).

For example, if n = 2 we can calculate that

 $1^{3}+_{2} 1^{3} = 1.28^{3}$  $1^{3}+_{2} 1.21^{3} = 1.41^{3}$  $1.2^{3}+_{2} 1.03^{3} = 1.41^{3}$ 

Note that the main reason of cardinal difference between standard Mathematics and Observer's Mathematics results is the following. The negative solution of classical Fermat's problem requires Axiom of Choice to be valid. But in Observer's Mathematics this Axiom is invalid.

THEOREM 2.2. (Analogy of Mersenne's numbers problem). There exist integers  $n, k \geq 2$ , Mersenne's numbers  $M_k$ , with  $\{k, M_k\} \in W_n$ , and positive  $a \in W_n$ , such that  $M_k = a^2$ .

THEOREM 2.3. (Analogy of Fermat's numbers problem). There exist integers  $n, k \ge 2$ , Fermat's numbers  $F_k, \{k, F_k\} \in W_n$ , and positive  $a \in W_n$ , such that  $F_k = a^2$ .

THEOREM 2.4. (Analogy of Waring's problem). For any integer  $k, k \ge 2$ , there exist integer  $n, n \ge 2$ ,  $(k \in W_n)$  and some  $x \in W_n$  such that any equality of the form  $x = a_1^k + a_2^k + \ldots + a_l^k$  is not possible for any integer  $l \in W_n$  and any positive numbers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l \in W_n$ .

## 2.2 Analogy of Hilbert's Tenth Problem

First we are looking for the solution of equation

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 33$$

in  $W_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , i.e. we have to find  $x, y, z \in W_n$  such that  $((x \times_n x) \times_n x), ((y \times_n y) \times_n y), ((z \times_n z) \times_n z), ((x \times_n x) \times_n x) +_n ((y \times_n y) \times_n y) \in Wn$ , and  $(((x \times_n x) \times_n x) +_n ((y \times_n y) \times_n y)) +_n ((z \times_n z) \times_n z) = 33$ . We provide several solutions below:

1. For n = 2, the solutions are:

- (a)  $\{1.72, 1, 3\},\$
- (b)  $\{-1.28, 2, 3\},\$
- (c)  $\{2.37, 1.55, 2.54\}.$
- 2. For n = 3, the solutions are:

- (a)  $\{3.208, 0, 0\},\$
- (b)  $\{3.208, y, -y\}$  for any  $y \in W_3$  such that  $(y \times_3 y) \times_3 y, (y \times_3 y) \times_3 y + 33 \in W_3$ ,
- (c)  $\{2.887, 1, 2\}.$
- 3. For n = 4, a possible solution is:  $\{2.4102, -2, 3\}$ .
- 4. For n = 5, a possible solution is:  $\{4.12129, 3, -4\}$ .
- 5. For n = 6, the solutions are:
  - (a)  $\{2.8845, 1, 2\},\$
  - (b)  $\{1.709981, 1, 3\}.$
- 6. For n = 9, a possible solution is:  $\{2.571281595, -1, -1\}$ .
- 7. For n = 10, a possible solution is:  $\{3.8929964162, 1, -3\}$ .
- 8. For n = 11, a possible solution is:  $\{3.89299641591, 1, -3\}$ .
- 9. For n = 12, a possible solution is:  $\{3.659305710025, -2, -2\}$ .
- 10. For n = 13, the solutions are:
  - (a)  $\{2.9240177382132, 0, 2\},\$
  - (b)  $\{2.9240177382132, 2, 0\}.$

11. For n = 14, the solutions are:

- (a)  $\{4.08165510191737, -2, -3\},\$
- (b)  $\{4.71769398031657, -2, -4\}.$

12. For n = 15, the solutions are:

- (a)  $\{2.410142264175234, -2, 3\},\$
- (b)  $\{1.259921049894891, 2, 3\},\$
- (c)  $\{4.081655101917351, -2, -3\}.$

In  $W_n$ , from the  $W_n$ -observer's point of view ( $W_n$ -observer is "naive" in  $W_n$ ), Hilbert's Tenth Problem is formulated classically: "Is there an algorithm that takes as input a multivariable polynomial  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  with integer coefficients and outputs YES or NO according to whether there exist integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  such that  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 0$ ." And  $W_n$ -observer as "naive" one has and understands proof, which Yu. Matiyasevich based on works of M. Davis, H. Putham, and J. Robinson made in 1970, and shown that no such algorithm exists. Consider now what does it mean from  $W_m$ -observer's point of view (m > n).

First we address the question "what is a polynomial in  $W_n$ " from the point of view of  $W_m$ -observer, with m > n?

DEFINITION 2.5. Multivariable (k - variables) polynomial  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  with degree q in  $W_n$  is given by:  $\sum_{p=0}^{q} \sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_k=p}^{n} a_{i_1\ldots i_k} \times_n (\ldots (\ldots (\underbrace{x_1 \times_n x_1) \times_n \ldots}_{i_1}) \times_n \ldots) \times_n x_1) \times_n \ldots$ 

$$\times_n(\dots(\underbrace{x_k\times_n x_k)\times_n\dots)\times_n x_k}_{i_k}) \text{ where } k\in N\cap W_n, \ q,i_1,\dots,i_k\in (N\cup 0)\cap W_n, \text{ with } N -$$

the set of all positive integers, and  $a_{i_1...i_k}, x_1, \ldots, x_k$  and entries of all parentheses are in  $W_n$ .

THEOREM 2.6. For any positive integers  $m, n, k \in W_n$ ,  $n \in W_m$ ,  $m > \log_{10}(1 + (2 \cdot 10^{2n} - 1)^k)$ , from the point of view of the  $W_m$ -observer, there is an algorithm that takes as input a multivariable polynomial  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  of degree q in  $W_n$  and outputs YES or NO according to whether there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in W_n$  such that  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 0$ .

Note, that, for example, for n = 2 and k = 3, this problem has negative solution from the point of view of not only  $W_2$ -observer, but also for  $W_3, W_4, \ldots, W_{12}$ -observers and only from the point of view of  $W_m$ -observer with  $m \ge 13$  this problem has positive solution.

Therefore, Hilbert's tenth problem in Observer's Mathematics has positive solution. We think that Hilbert expected a positive answer for his tenth problem. Note, that the main reason of cardinal difference between standard Mathematics and Observer's Mathematics results is the following. The negative solution of classical tenth problem requires Axiom of Choice to be valid. But in Observer's Mathematics this Axiom is invalid.

#### 2.3 Lehmer's Number in Observer's Mathematics

Lehmer's number,  $\alpha \approx = 1.17628$ , is the largest real root of the polynomial

$$f(x) = x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$$

. This number appears in various contexts in number theory and topology as the (sometimes conjectural) answer to natural questions involving notions of "minimality" and "small complex-ity".

A Salem polynomial is a monic irreducible reciprocal polynomial  $\phi(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $\phi(x) = 0$  has exactly two real roots  $\alpha > 1$  and  $1/\alpha$  off the unit circle  $S^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z|\}$ . Is is then of even degree. A Salem number is the unique real root  $\alpha > 1$ . In other words, a Salem number of degree 2n is a real algebraic integer  $\alpha > 1$  whose Galois conjugates consist of  $1/\alpha$  and 2n - 2 imaginary numbers on  $S^1$ .

There are 47 known Salem numbers less than 1.3. Of these, 45 exhaust the possibilities with  $\alpha < 1.3$  and degree d < 42. Of these, merely 6 have degree d < 12. Of these 6, we noted that all but one solve equation of the very simple form  $x^{4+m} = \frac{Q(1/x)}{Q(x)}$  with m > 0 and  $Q(x) = x^3 - x - 1$ . The case m = 1 gives Lehmer's number field. The minimal polynomials of the first five Salem numbers in this family are

$$P_{1}(\alpha) = \alpha^{10} + \alpha^{9} - \alpha^{7} - \alpha^{6} - \alpha^{5} - \alpha^{4} - \alpha^{3} + \alpha + 1$$
$$P_{2}(\alpha) = \alpha^{10} - \alpha^{7} - \alpha^{5} - \alpha^{3} + 1$$

$$P_{3}(\alpha) = \alpha^{10} - \alpha^{8} - \alpha^{5} - \alpha^{2} + 1$$
$$P_{4}(\alpha) = \alpha^{8} - \alpha^{5} - \alpha^{4} - \alpha^{3} + 1$$
$$P_{5}(\alpha) = \alpha^{10} - \alpha^{8} - \alpha^{7} + \alpha^{5} - \alpha^{3} - \alpha^{2} + 1$$

with approximate numerical roots - and hence Mahler measures - given by

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_1 = 1.1762808182599175065440703384\ldots \\ &\alpha_2 = 1.2303914344072247027901779389\ldots \\ &\alpha_3 = 1.2612309611371388519466715030\ldots \\ &\alpha_4 = 1.2806381562677575967019025327\ldots \\ &\alpha_5 = 1.2934859531254541065199098837\ldots \end{split}$$

Prior to understanding the Lehmer-Salem numbers in the setting of Observer's Mathematics, we first address the question "what is a polynomial in  $W_n$ ?".

DEFINITION 2.7. Polynomial f(x) with degree q in  $W_n$  is given by the following formula:

$$f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{q} {}^{n}a_{p} \times_{n} (\dots (x \times_{n} x) \times_{n} x) \times_{n} \dots \times_{n} x)$$

where  $q, a_p, x$  and entries of all parentheses are in  $W_n, p = 0, 1, \ldots, q$ .

Note that exponent is not an associative operation. For example, for n = 2, we have  $1.49 \times_2 1.49 = 2.14, 1.49 \times_2 2.14 = 3.16, 1.49 \times_2 3.16 = 4.67$ , i.e.,  $((1.49 \times_2 1.49) \times_2 1.49) \times_2 1.49 = 4.67$  while  $(1.49 \times_2 1.49) \times_2 (1.49 \times_2 1.49) = 4.57$ .

In  $W_n$  we can define a root of a polynomial  $f(x) \in W_n$  as the number  $x_0 \in W_n$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq 0. \underbrace{0 \dots 01}_{n}$ .

THEOREM 2.8. There are some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the minimal polynomial of the first five Salem numbers have the roots in  $W_n$ . Note that we consider  $P_1(\alpha), P_3(\alpha), P_4(\alpha)$  as the polynomial in  $W_n$  in the sense of the definition above, i.e., we understand that  $x^k = (\dots (x \times_n x) \times_n \dots \times_n x)$ 

and  $\sum = \sum^{n}$ .

## 2.4 Euler Brick and Perfect Cuboid problems

An Euler Brick is just a cuboid, or a rectangular box, in which all of the edges (length, depth, and height) have integer dimensions and in which the diagonals on all three sides are also integers. So if the length, depth and height are a, b, and c respectively, then a, b, and c are integers, as are the quantities  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{b^2 + c^2}$  and  $\sqrt{c^2 + a^2}$ . The unsolved problem is to find a four dimensional Euler Brick, in which the four sides a, b, c, and d are integers, as are the six face diagonals  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{a^2 + c^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{b^2 + c^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{b^2 + c^2}$  and  $\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}$ , or prove that such a cuboid cannot exist.

We reformulate 4D Euler Brick problem for Observer's Mathematics in the following way. To find some positive integer n and a 4D cuboid, in which the four sides a, b, c, d are integers in  $W_n$ , and the six face diagonals  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{a^2 + c^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{a^2 + d^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{b^2 + c^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{b^2 + d^2}$  and  $\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}$  are also in  $W_n$ , or prove that such cuboid cannot exist.

THEOREM 2.9. If a = b = c = d = 1, then the following condition holds true in  $W_2$ 

$$\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + d^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + d^2} = \sqrt{c^2 + d^2} = 1.42 \in W_2$$

Also, the following condition holds true in  $W_3$ :

$$\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + d^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + d^2} = \sqrt{c^2 + d^2} = 1.416 \in W_3$$

The above theorem implies that this problem has a positive solution in Observer's Mathematics.

Another unsolved problem is to find a perfect cuboid, which is an Euler Brick in which the space diagonal, that is, the distance from any corner to its opposite corner, given by the formula  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2}$ , is also an integer, or prove that such a cuboid cannot exist.

We reformulate perfect cuboid problem for Observer's Mathematics in the following way. To find some positive integer n and a perfect cuboid, in which the three sides a, b, c are integers in  $W_n$ , and the three face diagonals  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $\sqrt{a^2 + c^2}$  and  $\sqrt{b^2 + c^2} \in W_n$ , and in which the space diagonal, that is, the distance from any corner to its opposite corner, given by the formula  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2} \in W_n$ , or prove that such a cuboid cannot exist.

THEOREM 2.10. If a = b = c = 1, then the following condition holds true in  $W_2$ :

$$\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{2} = 1.42 \in W_2$$

However,  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{3}$  does not exist. Also, the following condition holds true in  $W_3$ 

$$\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{2} = 1.416 \in W_3$$

And  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{3} = 1.734 \in W_3$  The above theorem implies that this problem has a positive solution in Observer's Mathematics.

#### 2.5 Square Peg Problem

Every continuous simple closed curve in the plane defined by

$$\gamma: S^1 \to R^2$$

contains four points that are the vertices of a square. Is it true or not true? Let's take the Observer's Math point of view. Let's consider the identity  $\gamma: S^1 \to S^2$  given by  $\gamma(x, y) = (x, y)$  with  $(x, y) \in S^1$ . In this case one of vertices (if such square exists) has to be the intersection of line y = x and circle  $x^2 +_n y^2 = R^2$ . Let's note we assume that circle has a center in (0, 0) and square has edges parallel to coordinate axes. In classical math for any square with vertices  $(x_0, x_0), (-x_0, x_0), (x_0, -x_0), \text{ and } (-x_0, -x_0)$  the circle containing these points always exists.

THEOREM 2.11. . In Observer's Mathematics, for any square with vertices  $(x_0, x_0)$ ,  $(-x_0, x_0)$ ,  $(x_0, -x_0)$ , and  $(-x_0, -x_0)$ , the circle containing these points does not always exist.

Proof. If  $x_0, R \in Wn, x_0 = 1, 2 = R^2$ , then we have

 $n = 2 \rightarrow R = 1.42$   $n = 3 \rightarrow R = 1.416$   $n = 4 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 5 \rightarrow R = 1.41423$   $n = 6 \rightarrow R = 1.414216$   $n = 7 \rightarrow R = 1.4142139$   $n = 8 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 9 \rightarrow R = 1.414213567$   $n = 10 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$ 

If  $x_0, R \in W_n, x_0 = 2, 8 = R^2$ , than we have

 $n = 2 \rightarrow R = 2.84$   $n = 3 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 4 \rightarrow R = 2.8287$   $n = 5 \rightarrow R = 2.82846$   $n = 6 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 7 \rightarrow R = 2.8284274$   $n = 8 \rightarrow R = 2.82842717$   $n = 9 \rightarrow R = 2.828427129$   $n = 10 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$ 

If  $x_0, R \in W_n, x_0 = 3, 18 = R^2$ , than we have

$$n = 2 \rightarrow R$$
 does not exist  
 $n = 3 \rightarrow R = 4.243$   
 $n = 4 \rightarrow R = 4.2427$   
 $n = 5 \rightarrow R = 4.24265$   
 $n = 6 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  
 $n = 7 \rightarrow R = 4.2426408$   
 $n = 8 \rightarrow R = 4.2426407$ 

 $n = 9 \rightarrow R = 4.242640689$  $n = 10 \rightarrow R$  does not exist

If  $x_0$ ,  $R \in W_n$ ,  $x_0 = 4$ ,  $32 = R^2$ , than we have

 $n = 2 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 3 \rightarrow R = 5.658$   $n = 4 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 5 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 6 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 7 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 8 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 9 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$   $n = 10 \rightarrow R \text{ does not exist}$ 

If  $x_0$ ,  $R \in W_n$ ,  $x_0 = 5$ ,  $50 = R^2$ , than we have

 $n = 2 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 3 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 4 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 5 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 6 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 7 \rightarrow R = 7.0710679$   $n = 8 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 9 \rightarrow R$  does not exist  $n = 10 \rightarrow R$  does not exist

THEOREM 2.12. In Observer's Mathematics for any circle the square with vertices  $(x_0, x_0)$ ,  $(-x_0, x_0)$ ,  $(x_0, -x_0)$ , and  $(-x_0, -x_0)$  does not always exist.

*Proof.* If  $x_0, R \in W_n, R = 1, 2 \times_n x_0^2 = 1$ , than we have

 $n = 2 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 3 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 4 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 5 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 6 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 7 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 8 \rightarrow x_0$  does not exist  $n = 9 \rightarrow x_0 = 0.70710679*$ 

where \* means any digit  $\in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}.$ 

$$n = 10 \rightarrow x_0$$
 does not exist

That means that the Square Peg Problem has negative solution in Observer's Mathematics.

## 2.6 Classical geometric problem of angle trisection

Consider the equation

$$x^3 - 3x - 2\cos\alpha = 0$$

Note that if we take unit circle on the real plane  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  and put  $\cos \alpha = z(z \in (0, 1))$  for some  $W_n$ , then  $\sin \alpha$  may not exist. For example, in  $W_2$ , if

$$\cos \alpha = 0.6, 0.61, 0.62, 0.63, 0.64, 0.65, 0.66, 0.67, 0.68, 0.69$$

, then

$$\sin \alpha = 0.8, 0.81, 0.82, 0.83, 0.84, 0.85, 0.86, 0.87, 0.88, 0.89$$

though these 10 different  $\sin \alpha$  values correspond to each cos a value. Also, If

 $\cos \alpha = 0.8, 0.81, 0.82, 0.83, 0.84, 0.85, 0.86, 0.87, 0.88, 0.89$ 

then

 $\sin \alpha = 0.6, 0.61, 0.62, 0.63, 0.64, 0.65, 0.66, 0.67, 0.68, 0.69$ 

, though these 10 different  $\sin \alpha$  values correspond to each  $\cos \alpha$  value. For any other possible positive values of  $\cos \alpha$  in  $W_2$  the  $\sin \alpha$  does not exist.

THEOREM 2.13. For any possible positive value of  $\cos \alpha$  in  $W_2$  equation  $x^3 - 3x - 2\cos \alpha = 0$ does not have a solution in  $W_2$ .

THEOREM 2.14. For  $\cos \alpha = 0.492 \in W_3$ , in this case

 $\sin \alpha = 0.88, 0.881, 0.882, 0.883, 0.884, 0.885, 0.886, 0.887, 0.888, 0.889$ 

then the solution of equation  $x^3 - 3x - 2\cos \alpha = 0$  exists and it is x = 1.88 in  $W_3$ .